

E. Evans Wohlforth, Jr.  
**ROBINSON & COLE LLP**  
666 Third Avenue, 20th Floor  
New York, New York 10017  
Telephone: (212) 451-2900  
Facsimile: (212) 451-2999  
ewohlforth@rc.com

David Elsberg (admitted pro hac vice)  
Andrew R. Dunlap (admitted pro hac vice)  
Meredith Nelson (admitted pro hac vice)  
**SELENDY GAY ELSBERG PLLC**  
1290 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, NY 10104  
Tel: 212-390-9000  
delsberg@selendygay.com  
adunlap@selendygay.com  
mnelson@selendygay.com

*Attorneys for Defendant Save On SP, LLC*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

<p>JOHNSON &amp; JOHNSON HEALTH CARE SYSTEMS INC.,</p> <p>Plaintiff,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>SAVE ON SP, LLC,</p> <p>Defendant.</p>	<p>Civil Action No. 22-2632 (ES)(CLW)</p> <p><i>Document Electronically Filed</i></p> <p><b>FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO SEAL</b></p>
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

This matter having been opened before the Court upon the Defendant Save On SP, LLC's ("SaveOnSP") Motion, (ECF No. 103), to Permanently Seal the Joint Letter dated April 11, 2023 [ECF No. 95], and the transcript of the oral argument before the Court dated April 13, 2023 [ECF No. 98]; and the Court having considered the submissions in support of Defendant's application, and there being no objection to the motion, and for good cause shown, the Court finds:

### **FINDINGS OF FACT**

1. The information that SaveOnSP seeks to seal consists of SaveOnSP's proprietary business information.

2. Avoiding the public disclosure of this information is necessary to prevent harm to the parties. *See e.g., Rosario v. Doe*, No. CIV. 08-5185 RMB, 2013 WL 3283903, at \*2-3 (D.N.J. June 25, 2013) (sealing records containing private medical information or proprietary information); *Goldenberg v. Indel, Inc.*, 2012 WL 15909, at \*3 (D.N.J. Jan. 3, 2012) (granting motion to seal "commercially sensitive and proprietary non-public business information"); *Bracco Diagnostics, Inc. v. Amersham Health Inc.*, 2007 WL 2085350, at \*9-10 (D.N.J. July 18, 2007) (granting motion to seal where the public availability of documents containing confidential business information would have put a party at a competitive disadvantage).

3. It is believed that no less restrictive alternative is available to prevent the disclosure of SaveOnSP's proprietary business information. This Motion to Seal has been narrowly tailored to seek sealing as to only those materials that have been deemed confidential.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

4. Upon consideration of the papers submitted in support of and in opposition to the Motion and the materials that SaveOnSP has designated as Confidential, the Court concludes that SaveOnSP has met its burden of proving, under L. Civ. R. 5.3 and applicable case law, that the information should be filed under seal. Specifically, the Court concludes that: (a) the Joint Letter dated April 11, 2023 [ECF No. 95] and the transcript of the oral argument before the Court dated April 13, 2023 [ECF No. 98] contain confidential information; (b) the parties have a legitimate interest in maintaining the confidentiality of this information in order to protect against

disclosure; (c) public disclosure of this information would result in clearly defined and serious injury; and (d) no less restrictive alternative to sealing the subject documents is available.

Specifically, Defendant has publicly filed versions of the materials in question in which only the confidential information contained therein has been redacted. (ECF No. 103-1, 103-2).

5. The foregoing conclusions are supported by relevant case law holding that the right of public access to court filings is not absolute and may be overcome by a showing such as made here, in the discretion of the trial court. *See Nixon v. Warner Comms., Inc.*, 435 U.S. 589, 603 (1978). The Court, upon such a proper showing, may in its discretion prevent confidential materials from being transmuted into materials presumptively subject to public access. *Leucadia, Inc. v. Applied Extrusion Techs., Inc.*, 998 F.2d 157, 166 (3d Cir. 1993).

**BASED UPON** the foregoing findings of fact and conclusions of law:

**IT IS** on this 27th day of November, 2023,

**ORDERED** that SaveOnSP's Motion to Seal, (ECF No. 103), is hereby **GRANTED**;

and it is

**FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk of the Court shall maintain the unredacted versions of the confidential materials, (ECF Nos. 95, 98), under seal.

s/Cathy L. Waldor  
Hon. Cathy L. Waldor, U.S.M.J.